an>

Title: Announcement regarding Short Duration Discussion.

HON. SPEAKER: Hon. Members, I have received a notice from Shri Bhartruhari Mahtab for Short Duration Discussion under Rule 193 on the Aircel-Maxis scam. If the House agrees or desires, I may allow the discussion today itself. The subject of discussion will be – Situation arising out of alleged money laundering involving Aircel-Maxis in the wake of recent joint raids by the Enforcement Directorate and Income-Tax Department. If all of you agree, if the House agrees, discussion will be initiated by Shri Mahtab and time fixed for it is only two hours, not more than that.

...(*Interruptions*)

PROF. SAUGATA ROY (DUM DUM): I have a very short Point of Order. Please read Rule 186(3). ...(*Interruptions*) I have given a notice for Point of Order under Rule 186(3) – In order that a motion or discussion may be admissible it shall satisfy the following conditions, namely:â€" (iii) it shall not refer to the conduct or character of persons except in their public capacity. Now, the motion is against one man who is the son of the former Finance Minister, not holdingâ€¦. ...(*Interruptions*) Shri Mahtab, you can argue later. Let me complete. You can argue later. ...(*Interruptions*) The rule further states that it shall not relate to any matter which is under adjudication by a court of law having jurisdiction in any part of India. So, Aircel-Maxis matter is being adjudicated in a court of law. And as per court direction, the EB and other Government Departments carried out raids.

Kindly refer to Rule 353 - No allegation of a defamatory or incriminatory nature shall be made by a member against any person unless the member has given adequate advance notice to the Speaker and also to the Minister concerned so that the Minister may be able to make an investigation into the matter for the purpose of a reply; Provided that the Speaker may at any time accept. Now, the hon. AIADMK Members stalled the House yesterday demanding, `we want justice'. Fine. They even stalled the House today saying, `we want justice'. Only this morning, Shri B. Mahtab gave his notice to support his statement yesterday after 10 o' clock. ...(*Interruptions*) Sufficient notice was not given.

HON. SPEAKER: I am sorry. Prof. Saugata Roy, you have mentioned the rule. Don't take his name. Why are you taking his name?

...(*Interruptions*)

HON. SPEAKER: I will reply. Please take your seat.

...(*Interruptions*)

डॉ. किरीट सोमैया (मुम्बई उत्तर पूर्व) : कॉलिंग अटेंशन का नोटिस इस विषय पर दिया है। जो उन्होंने पाइंट ऑफ ऑर्डर उठाया है, उस पाइंट ऑफ ऑर्डर में भी वह नोटिस लागू नहीं होता है। You have not named any individual. ...(*Interruptions*)

HON. SPEAKER: No. I have gone through your Point of Order regarding discussion under Rule 193. उन्होंने पाइंट ऑफ ऑर्डर रेज किया है। It was with regard to allegations against a particular person. No. The subject of discussion under Rule 193 does not refer to any person. So, if the House agrees, I would allow.

...(*Interruptions*)

HON. SPEAKER: I am allowing discussion under Rule 193.

I am allowing Shri Mahtab. Before that, I would like to inform that the debate on this would be for only two hours. After this, we will take up `Zero Hour' in the evening.